

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/665,241	5,241 09/18/2000		Eric M. Silberstein	IDIK-001; 55692-012	4412		
23550	7590	06/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN	I WARN	ICK & D'ALESSA	MANIWANC	MANIWANG, JOSEPH R			
75 STATE S				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
14TH FLOO ALBANY, 1		7	2144				
,							

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an App al Brief

Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)		
09/665,241	SILBERSTEIN ET AL.		
Examin r	Art Unit		
Joseph R. Maniwang	2144		

	Joseph R	. Maniwang	2144							
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ars on th	cover sheet with the	corresp ndence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:										
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX	MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final rejecti	on.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		HECK BOX (b) WHEN T	HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL										
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with	37 CFR 41.37 must l	e filed within two month	ns of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to	the date of filing a bri	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		and/or search (see N	OTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta				the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ling number of finally	rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ashad Nation of Nam	Camaliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		acned Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		whereittad in a account	a timely filed emendme	nt consoling the						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii s	submilled in a separai	e, umery med amendme	ent canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			will be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .										
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 										
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>a</u> y and was	<u>ll</u> rejections under ap not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the sta	tus of the claims afte	entry is below or attact	ned.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER										
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 				nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(P10/SB/0	or ۲۱0-1449) Pape	r NO(s).							
13.		MC		<i>\</i>						
		WILLIAM C. VAUGE	IN, JR.)						
		PRIMARY EXAM	NER	$\sum_{}$						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the references do not teach managing object links that interface with an abstraction layer, wherein each object link comprises a source content object for a source website, a target content object for a destination website different from the source website, and one of a plurality of object link types is not persuasive. Examiner submits that the Challenger reference teaches different websites (see column 13, lines 51-65), a plurality of object link types (see column 14, lines 30-44), and an abstraction layer that organizes data (see column 8, lines 10-22). The valid position set forth in the Final Rejection will be maintained.

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER